

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

JAIME WALTER VILCA ROJAS,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:13-cv-264

v

BILL SCHUETTE, Attorney General  
of the State of Michigan, KRISTE KIBBEY  
ETUE, Director of the Michigan State Police,  
D.J. HILSON, Muskegon County Prosecutor  
and DEAN ROESLER, Muskegon County Sheriff,

Honorable Gordon J. Quist  
U.S. District Judge

Defendants.

---

Robert Anthony Alvarez (P66954)  
Avanti Law Group, PLLC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
600 28<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.  
Wyoming, MI 49509  
Telephone: 616-257-6807

Theodore N. Williams, Jr. (P32291)  
Douglas M. Hughes (P30958)  
Susan M. Franklin (P66047)  
Williams Hughes, PLLC  
Muskegon County Corporate Counsel  
Attorneys for Defendants Hilson and Roesler  
120 W. Apple Avenue, P.O. Box 599  
Muskegon, MI 49440-0599  
Telephone: 231-726-4857

---

**DEFENDANTS HILSON AND ROESLER'S BRIEF IN SUPPORT  
OF MOTION FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT**

A Motion for a More Definite Statement is appropriate when “a pleading . . . is so vague or ambiguous that a party cannot reasonably be required to frame a responsive pleading”. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e). Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2) a complaint must provide “a short and plain

statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief". As indicated in Scheid v. Fanny Farmer Candy Shops Inc. (6<sup>th</sup> Cir., 1998) 859 F.2d 434, 436, the plaintiff must provide enough detail in the complaint "... respecting all the material elements to sustain a recovery".

In the Complaint served on Defendants Hilson and Roesler, it appears that Paragraph 10 of the Complaint was a reminder of Plaintiff to himself to include factual allegations that would constitute a claim. However, the Complaint, as served, includes essentially no factual allegations against either Defendant. The only allegation against D.J. Hilson is that he is the Prosecutor for Muskegon County. The only allegation against Dean Roesler is that he is the Sheriff of Muskegon County. There is no allegation of conduct or misconduct by either of these Defendants that would indicate that Plaintiff has a claim against either of them. As such, neither of these Defendants can frame a responsive Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint.

Wherefore, Defendants Hilson and Roesler respectfully request that the Court grant its Motion for a More Definitive Statement.

WILLIAMS HUGHES, PLLC

Dated: June 13, 2013

By: /s/Theodore N. Williams, Jr.

Theodore N. Williams, Jr. (P32291)

Douglas M. Hughes (P30958)

Susan M. Franklin (P66047)

Muskegon County Corporate Counsel  
Business Address:

120 W. Apple Avenue, P.O. Box 599

Muskegon, Michigan 49443-0599

Telephone: (231) 726-4857